

Message

From: Andrew Stoeckle [Andrew.Stoeckle@erg.com]
Sent: 3/11/2020 9:14:36 PM
To: Barrette, Michael [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=237b7449b0084c8faea3a38d2bb31ea2-Mbarre04]; Montilla, Alex [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b148b5335ff44aea8970035668052f01-Montilla, Alex]; Buckley, Timothy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=197a3461d9824a17850f34cc2b0b37fe-Buckley, Timothy]; Gillespie, Andrew [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=dce99ece87694a06b3009d7756e2a89e-Gillespie, Andrew]
CC: Brielle Kissel Meade [Brielle.Kissel@erg.com]
Subject: RE: PFAS Tools Comment
Attachments: ATT00001.txt

We are walking through them and will report out our findings.

Andrew Stoeckle | VP, Information and Analysis | Eastern Research Group | o. 781.674.7261 c. Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)


From: Barrette, Michael <Barrette.Michael@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 5:12 PM
To: Montilla, Alex <Montilla.Alex@epa.gov>; Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>; Gillespie, Andrew <Gillespie.Andrew@epa.gov>
Cc: Andrew Stoeckle <Andrew.Stoeckle@erg.com>
Subject: FW: PFAS Tools Comment

I haven't had time to dive into these comments so I don't know whether there is actually a problem or just a misinterpretation about what the summary values are stating. Probably worth a discussion soon.

From: Hautman, Dan
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 3:59 PM
To: Barrette, Michael <Barrette.Michael@epa.gov>
Cc: Montilla, Alex <Montilla.Alex@epa.gov>; Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>; Gillespie, Andrew <Gillespie.Andrew@epa.gov>; Andrew Stoeckle <Andrew.Stoeckle@erg.com>; Carroll, Gregory <Carroll.Gregory@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: PFAS Tools Comment

Mike,

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)



Issues (this is something I just noticed now – not reported as a comment upon my initial review):

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Issues (this is something I just noticed now – not reported as a comment upon my initial review):

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Issues (this was the focus of my comment upon my initial review):

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Dan

Daniel P. Hautman, Deputy Director
Technical Support Center
USEPA, OW, OGWDW, SRMD
26 W. Martin Luther King Dr., MS140
Cincinnati, Ohio 45268
513-569-7274

From: Barrette, Michael <Barrette.Michael@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 11:41 AM
To: Hautman, Dan <Hautman.Dan@epa.gov>
Cc: Montilla, Alex <Montilla.Alex@epa.gov>; Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>; Gillespie, Andrew <Gillespie.Andrew@epa.gov>; Andrew Stoeckle <Andrew.Stoeckle@erg.com>
Subject: PFAS Tools Comment

Hi Dan,

I noticed the following comment from you on the filtering in the PFAS Tool.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Because the tool has been updated periodically, and we have done some bug fixes over time, I want to be sure we troubleshoot correctly. We think the current version of the filters are operating as intended, as described below. If you are familiar with other Qlik tools, they all operate in a standard way - the user can combine any set of filters, and as those are selected, facilities that don't meet the criteria are removed.

As every PWS monitored for all six chemicals, and every PWS with LHA exceedances would also have tests above MRL, the Qlik filters work as follows:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Note: two filters are PWS based and one is based on monitored chemical(s). The results table and download show just PFBS records.

Let me know your thoughts. I'm trying to ascertain whether you possibly were using the tool during a time it was having technical problems, or whether you think there is a problem with the overall search. Thanks.

Mike